

Edited by
MARY CELESTE KEARNEY



First published 2012 by Routledge 711 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017

Simultaneously published in the UK All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers. The right of the editor to be identified as the author of the editorial material, and of the authors for their individual chapters, has been asserted in accordance with sections 77 and 78 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business by Routledge 2 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 4RN Trademark Notice: Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
The gender and media reader / editor Mary Celeste Kearney. ISBN 13: 978-0-415-99345-6 (hbk) ISBN 13: 978-0-415-99346-3 (pbk) 2010044187 I. Kearney, Mary Celeste, 1962-pg6,S45G44 2011 Typeset in Amasis by Swales & Willis Ltd, Exeter, Devon Printed and bound in the United States of America on acid-free paper by Sheridan Books, Inc. 305.3—dc22 . Mass media and se. 2. Sex role in mass media. Mass media and culture.

CONTENTS

Introduction

Acknowledgments

ద.

| Julie D/Acci Scender and the Culture of Empire: Toward a Feminist Ethnography of the Cinema | Laura Mulvey 4. Defining. Women: The Case of Cagney and Lacey | Gape Tuchman 3. Wishal Pleasure and Narrative Cinema | Liesbet van Zoonen 2. 'The Symbolic Annihilation of Women by the Mass Media | Mary Celeste Kearney Ferminist Perspectives on the Media | Introduction to Part I | PART I | Mary Celeste Kearney |
|--|--|---|--|---|------------------------|--------|----------------------|
| 86 | 67 | 59 | 41 | 25 | 23 | | |

ond Bacism and Misogyny. Black Feminism and 2 Live Crew

de Williams-Crenshaw

ion and Gender Insubordination

124

136

109

ist Media Culture: Elements of a Sensibility

42. THE OPPOSITIONAL GAZE

Black Female Spectators

bell hooks

When thinking about black female spectators, I remember being punished as a child for staring, for those hard intense direct looks children would give grown-ups, looks that were seen as confrontational, as gestures of resistance, challenges to authority. The 'gaze' has always been political in my life. Imagine the terror felt by the child who has come to understand through repeated punishments that one's gaze can be dangerous. The child who has learned so well to look the other way when necessary. Yet, when punished, the child is told by parents, 'Look at me when I talk to you.' Only, the child is afraid to look. Afraid to look, but fascinated by the gaze. There is power in

gaze. Connecting this strategy of domination to that were such that the slaves were denied their right to informed black parenting and black spectatorship. dered how this traumatic relationship to the gaze had punished enslaved black people for looking, I wonthat white slave-owners (men, women, and children) ties where I grew up, I was pained to think that there used by grown folks in southern black rural communi-The politics of slavery, of racialized power relations, control. Since I knew as a child that the dominating similar apparatuses, strategies, and mechanisms of reproduces itself in different locations employing connections, about the ways power as domination reading Michel Foucault, I thought again about these oppressed black people and ourselves. Years later, was no absolute difference between whites who had power adults exercised over me and over my gaze Amazed the first time I read in history classes

was never so absolute that I did not dare to look, to sneak a peep, to stare dangerously, I knew that the stances of domination, the ability to manipulate an oppositional gaze. By courageously looking, we overwhelming longing to look, a rebellious desire, black peoples' right to gaze had produced in us an slaves had looked. That all attempts to repress our/ one's gaze in the face of structures of domination that look to change reality. Even in the worse circumdefiantly declared: 'Not only will I stare. I want my everything and which leaves no room for freedom. ing domination in terms of 'relations of power' as much of his work, Michel Foucault insists on describwould contain it, opens up the possibility of agency. In agency can be found. gaps, and locations on and through the body where invites the critical thinker to search those margins, 'there is necessarily the possibility of resistance,' he Emphatically stating that in all relations of power 'power is a system of domination which controls part of an effort to challenge the assumption that

dominant cinematic practices

Stuart Hall calls for recognition of our agency as black spectators in his essay 'Cultural Identity and Cinematic Representation.' Speaking against the construction of white representations of blackness as totalizing. Hall says of white presence: "The error is not to conceptualize this "presence" in terms of power, but to locate that power as wholly external to us—as extrinsic force, whose influence can be thrown off like the serpent sheds its skin. What Franz Fanon reminds us, in *Black Skin, White Masks*, is how power is inside as well as outside:

the Movements, the attitudes, the glances of the Other fixed me there, in the sense in which a chemical solution is fixed by a dye. I was indignant, I demanded an explanation. Nothing happened. I burst apart. Now the fragments have been put together again by another self. This 'look,' from—so to speak—the place of the Other, fixes us, not only in its violence, hostility and aggression, but in the ambivalence of its desire.

Spaces of agency exist for black people, wherein we can both interrogate the gaze of the Other but also look back, and at one another, naming what we see. The 'gaze' has been and is a site of resistance for colonized black people globally. Subordinates in relations of power learn experientially that there is a critical gaze, one that 'looks' to document, one that is oppositional. In resistance struggle, the power of the dominated to assert agency by claiming and cultivating 'awareness' politicizes 'looking' relations—one learns

knowledge and power reproducing and maintaining did so fully aware that mass media was a system of to look a certain way in order to resist. could chart the progress of political movements for sitional black gaze that responded to these looking its negation of black representation. It was the oppostream movies, to engage its images, was to engage white supremacy. To stare at the television, or mainhad the opportunity to look at film and television, they spectatorship. Unless you went to work in the white so. Within my family's southern black working-class racial equality via the construction of images, and did Black viewers of mainstream cinema and television relations by developing independent black cinema as they were constituted in the context of social moveworld, across the tracks, you learned to look at white watching television was one way to develop critical home, located in a racially segregated neighborhood enced visual pleasure in a context where looking was tion, black viewers of movies and television expenwe also looked at them critically. Before racial integra-Andy, at these white representations of blackness, but laughed at television shows like Our Gang and Amos 'n' ments for racial uplift, were interrogating gazes. We people by staring at them on the screen. Black looks, also about contestation and confrontation When most black people in the United States first

> British Cinema: Spectatorship and Identity Formaspectator in a position of agency; and race, class tion in Territories,' Manthia Diawara identifies the concern for him are moments of 'rupture' when the subjecthood is filled by the spectator.' Of particular critiqued to see if images were seen as complicit with that did not reinforce white supremacy, they too were into being in part as a response to the failure of whitealso subject to critical interrogation. Since they came progress or at its conclusion maintained the distance negated. Critical discussion of the film while it was in critical practice that restored presence where it was cally degrading and dehumanizing co-existed with a to racial integration. Then, one's enjoyment of a film between black spectators and dominant cinema prior film's discourse.' These ruptures define the relation spectator resists 'complete identification with the and sexual relations influence the way in which this power of the spectator: 'Every narration places the between spectator and the image. Black films were wherein representations of blackness were stereotypidominated cinema to represent blackness in a manner Writing about black looking relations in 'Black

gender. As spectators, black men could repudiate the racial domination of blacks by whites overdetermined concerned with issues of race and racism, the way male responses to screen images. In their role as specmale gaze was always subject to control and/or of spectatorship. Given the real life public circumthough they were rebelling against white supremacy representation. They were rarely concerned with That white supremacist structure that had murdered tion overseeing the gaze, interpreting, and punishing at white womanhood without a structure of dominaunleash the repressed gaze. There they could 'look realm of television screens or dark theaters could punishment by the powerful white Other, the private for looking at white wornanhood, where the black stances wherein black men were murdered/lynched by daring to look, by engaging phallocentric politics negation of black presence, even as they could feel as reproduction of racism in cinema and television, the tators, black men could enter an imaginative space of 'rape' of white womanhood, could not control black Emmet Till after interpreting his look as violation, as Critical, interrogating black looks were mainly

phallocentric power that mediated racial negation. This gendered relation to looking made the experience of the black male spectator radically different from that of the black female spectator Major early black male independent filmmakers represented black women in their films as objects of male gaze. Whether looking through the camera or as spectators watching films, whether mainstream cinema or 'race' movies such as those made by Oscar Micheaux, the black male gaze had a different scope from that of the black female

a response to absence, to cinematic negation. In "The silence of black women as spectators and critics was women has only begun to emerge. The prolonged A growing body of film theory and criticism by black on the work of Monique Wittig, calls attention to the Technology of Gender, Teresa de Lauretis, drawing female spectatorship, about our moviegoing practices tators have had to develop looking relations within exception of early race movies, black female specthe discourses of the mass media.' With the possible duced by abstract and scientific discourses as well as violence which is material and physical, although propower of discourses to "do violence" to people, a so as to perpetuate white supremacy and with it a absence, that denies the 'body' of the black female not conform to this paradigm but I am turning to the looked at and desired is 'white.' (Recent movies do phallocentric spectatorship where the woman to be past with the intent to chart the development of black cinematic context that constructs our presence as Black women have written little about black

Talking with black women of all ages and classes, in different areas of the United States, about their filmic looking relations, I hear again and again ambivalent responses to cinema. Only a few of the black women I talked with remembered the pleasure of race movies, and even those who did, felt that pleasure interrupted and usurped by Hollywood. Most of the black women I talked with were adarnant that they never went to movies expecting to see compelling representations of black femaleness. They were all acutely aware of cinematic racism—its violent erasure of black womanhood. In Anne Friedberg's essay 'A Denial of Difference: Theories of Cinematic Identification' she stresses that 'Identification can only be made through

recognition, and all recognition is itself an implicit confirmation of the ideology of the status quo. Even when representations of black women were present in film, our bodies and being were there to serve—to enhance and maintain white womanhood as objection the phallocentric gaze.

Commenting on Hollywood's characterization of black women in *Girls on Film*, Julie Burchill describes this absent presence:

Black women have been mothers without children (Mammies—who can ever forget the sickening spectacle of Hattie MacDaniels waiting on the simpering Vivien Leigh hand and foot and enquiring like a ninny. What's ma lamb gonna wear

Lena Horne, the first black performer signed to a long term contract with a major (MGM), looked gutless but was actually quite spirited. She seeffied when Tallulah Bankhead complimented her son the paleness of her skin and the non-Negroidness of her features.

unless the film was specifically coded as being about it says that we are not as white as we think. Buigh it say about racial purity that the best blondes have critics who has dared to examine the intersection of blacks. Burchill is one of the few white women film were not aware that they were looking at black females appeared in mainstream cinema most white viewers When black women actresses like Lena Home to be, for clearly the obsession to have white women could easily have said 'we are not as white as we wan gaze. With characteristic wit she asserts: What doe category 'woman' in film as object of the phallocenting race and gender in relation to the construction of the that image and the black female Other, it was a way to sought to maintain a distance, a separation between film stars be ultra-white was a cinematic practice in a all been brunettes (Harlow, Monroe, Bardot)?! [thin] film identified what the place and function of white tive from Birth of a Nation on. As a seminal work, the perpetuate white supremacy. Politics of race and see womanhood would be in cinema. There was clear der were inscribed into mainstream cinematic nation no place for black women

Remembering my past in relation to screen in a of black womanhood, I wrote a short essay. Do

remember Sapphire?' which explored both the negation of black female representation in cinema and elevision and our rejection of these images. Identifying the character of 'Sapphire' from *Amos 'n' Andy* as that screen representation of black femaleness I first saw in childhood, I wrote:

. She was even then backdrop, foil. She was bitch and unthreatening to a white audience. She was to make them seem vulnerable, easygoing, funny nag. She was there to soften images of black men goated on all sides. She was not us. We laughed one to be lied to, someone to be tricked, someone there as man in drag, as castrating bitch, as someto be there. We did not long for her. We did not the white and black audience could hate. Scapewe did not even long to be there on the screen laughed at this black woman who was not us. And with the black men, with the white people. We not the body of desire. There was nothing to see. thing—foil, backdrop. Her black female image was visually constructed, was so ugly. We did not long How could we long to be there when our image She was not us. want our construction to be this hated black female

Grown black women had a different response to Saphire; they identified with her frustrations and her woes. They resented the way she was mocked. They resented the way these screen images could assault black womanhood, could name us bitches, nags. And in opposition they claimed Sapphire as their own, as the symbol of that angry part of themselves white folks and black men could not even begin to understand.

Conventional representations of black women have done violence to the image. Responding to this assault, many black women spectators shut out the image, looked the other way, accorded cinema no importance in their lives. Then there were those spectators whose gaze was that of desire and complicity. Assuming a posture of subordination, they submitted to-einema's capacity to seduce and betray. They were einematically 'gaslighted.' Every black woman I spoke with who was/is an ardent moviegoer, a lover of the Hollywood film, testified that to experience fully the pleasure of that cinema they had to close down critique, analysis; they had to forget racism. And mostly

they did not think about sexism. What was the nature then of this adoring black female gaze—this look that could bring pleasure in the midst of negation? In her first novel, *The Bluest Eye*, Toni Morrison constructs a portrait of the black female spectator; her gaze is the masochistic look of victimization. Describing her looking relations, Miss Pauline Breedlove, a poor working woman, maid in the house of a prosperous white family, asserts:

The onliest time I be happy seem like was when I was in the picture show. Every time I got, I went, I'd go early, before the show started. They's cut off the lights, and everything be black. Then the screen would light up, and I's move right on in them picture. White men taking such good care of they women, and they all dressed up in big clean houses with the bath tubs right in the same room with the toilet. Them pictures gave me a lot of pleasure.

To experience pleasure, Miss Pauline sitting in the dark must imagine herself transformed, turned into the white woman portrayed on the screen. After watching movies, feeling the pleasure, she says, 'But it made coming home hard.'

with felt that they consciously resisted identification through identification. Most of the women I talked spectators submitted to that spectacle of regression spectators who have looked too deep' the encounter as long as I did not look too deep.' For black female woman put, 'I could always get pleasure from movies than pleasurable; at times it caused pain. As one black with films—that this tension made moviegoing less ence in the 'Sapphire' piece, I addressed the movie watched Imitation of Life. Writing about this expenone way to protest, to reject negation. My pleasure in looking was a gesture of resistance, turning away was with the screen hurt. That some of us chose to stop directly, confessing: the screen ended abruptly when I and my sisters first We come home to ourselves. Not all black women

I had until now forgotten you, that screen image seen in adolescence, those images that made me stop looking. It was there in *Imitation of Life*, that comfortable manniny image. There was something

bell hooks

familiar about this hard-working black woman who loved her daughter so much, loved her in a way that hurt. Indeed, as young southern black girls watching this film, Peola's another reminded us of the hardworking, churchgoing, Big Mamas we knew and loved. Consequently, it was not this image that captured our gaze; we were fascinated by Peola.

Addressing her, I wrote:

You were different. There was something scary in his image of young sexual sensual black beauty betrayed—that daughter who did not want to be confined by blackness, that 'tragic mulatto' who did not want to be negated. 'Just let me escape this image forever,' she could have said. I will always remember that image. I remembered how we cried for her, for our unrealized desiring selves. She was tragic because there was no place in the cinema for her, no loving pictures. She too was absent image. It was better then, that we were absent, for when we were there it was humiliating, strange, sad. We cried all night for you, for the cinema that had no place for you. And like you, we stopped thinking it would one day be different.

When I returned to films as a young woman, after a long period of silence, I had developed an oppositional gaze. Not only would I not be hurt by the absence of black female presence, or the insertion of violating representation, I interrogated the work, cultivated a way to look past race and gender for aspects of content, form, language. Foreign films and US independent cinema were the primary locations of my filmic looking relations, even though I also watched Hollywood films.

From 'jump,' black female spectators have gone to films with awareness of the way in which race and racism determined the visual construction of gender. Whether it was *Birth of a Nation* or Shirley Temple shows, we knew that white womanhood was the racialized sexual difference occupying the place of stardom in mainstream narrative film. We assumed white women knew it too. Reading Laura Mulvey's provocative essay, 'Visual Pleasure and

Narrative Cinema,' from a standpoint that acknowledges race, one sees clearly why black women spectators not duped by mainstream cinema would develop an oppositional gaze. Placing ourselves outside that pleasure in looking, Mulvey argues, was determined by a 'split between active/male and passive/female.' Black female spectators actively chose not to identify with the film's imaginary subject because such identification was disenabling.

Looking at films with an oppositional gaze, black women were able to critically assess the cinema's construction of white womanhood as object of phallocentric gaze and choose not to identify with either the victim or the perpetrator. Black female spectators, who refused to identify with white womanhood, who would not take on the phallocentric gaze of desire and possession, created a critical space where the binary opposition Mulvey posits of 'woman as image, man as bearer of the look' was continually deconstructed. As critical spectators, black women looked from a location that disrupted, one akin to that described by Annette Kuhn in *The Power of The Image*:

... the acts of analysis, of deconstruction and of reading 'against the grain' offer an additional pleasure—the pleasure of resistance, of saying 'no': not to 'unsophisticated' enjoyment, by ourselves and others, of culturally dominant images, but to the structures of power which ask us to consume them uncritically and in highly circumscribed ways.

Mainstream ferminist film criticism in no way acknowledges black female spectatorship. It does not even consider the possibility that women can construct an oppositional gaze via an understanding and awareness of the politics of race and racism. Feminist film theory rooted in an ahistorical psychoanalytic framework that privileges sexual difference actively suppresses recognition of race, reenacting and mirroring the erasure of black womanhood that occurs in films, silencing any discussion of racial difference—of racialized sexual difference. Despite feminist critical interventions aimed at deconstructing the category 'woman' which highlight the significance of race, many feminist film critics continue to structure their discourse as though it speaks about 'women' when in actuality it

speaks only about white women. It seems ironic that the cover of the recent anthology Feminism and Film Theory edited by Constance Penley has a graphic that is a reproduction of the photo of white actresses Rosalind Russell and Dorothy Arzner on the 1936 set of the film Craig's Wife yet there is no acknowledgment in any essay in this collection that the woman 'subject' under discussion is always white. Even though there are photos of black women from films reproduced in the text, there is no acknowledgment of racial difference.

It would be too simplistic to interpret this failure of insight solely as a gesture of racism. Importantly, it also speaks to the problem of structuring feminist film theory around a totalizing narrative of woman as object whose image functions solely to reaffirm and reinscribe patriarchy. Mary Ann Doane addresses this issue in the essay 'Remembering Women: Psychical and Historical Construction in Film Theory':

This attachment to the figure of a degeneralizible Woman as the product of the apparatus indicates why, for many, feminist film theory seems to have reached an impasse, a certain blockage in its theorization ... in focusing upon the task of delineating in great detail the attributes of woman as effect of the apparatus, feminist film theory participates in the abstraction of women.

only as one imagines 'woman' in the abstract, when speak directly to the problem of its erasure. For it is Though Doane does not focus on race, her comments jects rather than as a psychic subject (or non-subject) between women defined precisely as historical subbetween women in specific socio-historical contexts seen as significant. Are we really to imagine that ity may not be the primary and/or exclusive signifier need to rethink a body of feminist film theory that is they engage in a process of denial that eliminates the the whiteness of the image? It may very well be that under the totalizing category 'woman,' do not 'see terminist theorists writing only about images of white woman becomes fiction or fantasy, can race not be firmly rooted in a denial of the reality that sex/sexualabout psychoanalysis as a paradigm of analysis and the necessity of revisioning conventional ways of thinking women, who subsume this specific historical subject concept 'Woman' effaces the difference

....

İ

of difference. Doane's essay appears in a very recent anthology, *Psychoanalysis and Cinema* edited by E. Ann Kaplan, where, once again, none of the theory presented acknowledges or discusses racial difference, with the exception of one essay, 'Not Speaking with Language, Speaking with No Language,' which problematizes notions of orientalism in its examination of Leslie Thornton's film *Adynata*. Yet in most of the essays, the theories espoused are rendered problematic if one includes race as a category of analysis.

enables the production of a discursive practice that a procedure which breeches the separation between identity, representation, and spectatorship. If, as Friedsentation or spectatorship. Yet the existence of black need never theorize any aspect of black female repreence'—must we then surmise that many feminist film structure of patriarchy. If identification 'demands tizes, and makes complex, the overall issue of female analysis, remains aggressively silent on the subject of identity, representation, and subjectivity as its field of cinematic apparatus produce theories that replicate critics who are 'over-identified' with the mainstream sameness, necessitates similarity, disallows differself and other, and, in this way, replicates the very mands the subject to be displaced by an other; it is women within white supremacist culture problemacally forced aware black female spectators not to look blackness and specifically representations of black its totalizing agenda? Why is it that feminist film critiberg suggests, 'identification is a process which comwomen's voices. It is difficult to talk when you feel no of a theoretical dialogue that might include black much ferninist film criticism disallows the possibility womanhood? Just as mainstream cinema has historicism, which has most claimed the terrain of woman's in some arenas of contemporary critical practice intervene on the historical repression reproduced violence perpetuated and advocated by discourses of tary on film to conversations. And it must be reiterated have for the most part confined our critical commencan understand. No wonder then that black women gon or narrative has been created that only the chosen one is listening, when you feel as though a special jarresentation in the field of film theory could critically mass media. A new focus on issues of race and repthat this gesture is a strategy that protects us from the Constructing feminist film theory along these lines

bell hooks

607

The Oppositional Gaze

female spectatorship possible making a discursive space for discussion of black

of the assumption that black female looking relations by racist practices, it did not open up the discursive a critical 'turf' in the United States has been and conwere not important enough to theorize. Film theory as gaze".' An aspect of that abuse was the imposition spectators because we have been so abused by "the mented: 'We are afraid to talk about ourselves as written about black female spectatorship, she comobsessive moviegoer, why she thought we had not and Other Pleasures, Laura Mulvey describes her initial In her introduction to the collection of essays Visual race show no interest in black female spectatorship. those white film theorists who include an analysis of terrain and make it more inclusive. Recently, even rooted in a women's liberation movement informed domination. Since feminist film criticism was initially tinues to be influenced by and reflective of white racial romantic absorption in Hollywood cinema, stating: When I asked a black woman in her twenties, an

over the next fifteen years or so. Watched through my critical work and ideas and the debate within Although this great, previously unquestioned and film culture with which I became preoccupied had an enormous influence on the development of feminism on my thought in the early 1970s, it also unanalyzed love was put in crisis by the impact of consciousness, the movies lost their magic eyes that were affected by the changing climate of

ema within the lived harsh reality of racism. Yet her starting point for many black women approaching cinhad been formed in opposition world from 'jump' as a critical spectator whose gaze indicates how difficult it would have been to enter that rest on a founding relationship of adoration and love account of being a part of a film culture whose roots vey arrived at that location of disaffection that is the Watching movies from a feminist perspective, Mul-

trally, those black female spectators who attest to the process of looking enough to publicly name it. Cengrain,' that black women have been able to value our resistance, struggle, reading, and looking 'against the ist and sexist domination, it has only been through Given the context of class exploitation, and rac-

> oppositionality of their gaze deconstruct theories of struct a theory of looking relations where cinematic anhood as lack, critical black female spectators consupreme arbiter of lack.' Identifying with neither the assume a position defined by the penis-phallus as the can only mimic man's relation to language, that is assumption that, as Doane suggests in her essay, visual delight is the pleasure of interrogation. Every 'Woman's Stake: Filming the Female Body,' 'woman engage the text with no threat of violation [...]pens, in the process of interrogation, to come across spectator!' Looking at Hollywood cinema from a disabout the way being a critical spectator of Hollywood tion, spoke of being 'on guard' at the movies. Talking black woman spectator I talked to, with rare excepphallocentric gaze nor the construction of white womfernale spectatorship that have relied heavily on the a narrative that invites the black female spectator to negation, Dash watched mainstream movies over and tance, from that critical politicized standpoint that did Dash exclaims, 'I make films because I was such a films influenced her, black woman filmmaker Julie And of course there is that added delight if one hapover again for the pleasure of deconstructing them not want to be seduced by narratives reproducing her

readings of the same material, adding that these in this society determines the scope and texture of of sex, gender, and sexuality give rise to different cation and Resistance' Manthia Diawara suggests that on men. In 'Black Spectatorship: Problems of Identifiof black spectatorship that I have come across focus ers, it is possible to talk about black female spectatorto develop an oppositional gaze. Now that there is a their looking relations. Those black women whose media and the capacity of black women to construct made between the realm of representation in mass essays about black women, I noted the connection at written discussions either in fiction or academic 'the components of "difference" 'among elements and those films have become more accessible to view growing interest in films produced by black women that oppose the dominant order, were most inclined identities were constructed in resistance, by practices black women feel devalued, objectified, dehumanized ourselves as subjects in daily life. The extent to which ship in relation to that work. So far, most discussions Talking with black female spectators, looking

> his critical discussion on black masculinity, conditions produce a 'resisting' spectator. He focuses

authors assert that black females have 'our own reala black female gaze? How do black women relate to black female spectatorship. The essay posed proespecially as it included an essay, 'Black Looks,' by tions of reality are so profoundly colonized, shaped do not 'see differently' precisely because their percepinherently different field of vision. Many black women as victims of race and gender oppression, have an ist stance wherein it is presumed that black women explanation suggests they are assuming an essentialing 'rather differently.' The absence of definition and they do not name/describe this experience of seethe world rather differently from "anyone else." 'Yet ity, our own history, our own gaze--one which sees the gender politics of representation? Concluding, the vocative questions that were not answered: Is there Jacqui Roach and Petal Felix that attempts to address Gaze: Women as Viewers of Popular Culture excited me. be this talking indulgent or critical. does not merely consist of talking about oneself points out in 'Outside In, Inside Out': 'Subjectivity by dominant ways of knowing. As Trinh T Minh-ha The recent publication of the anthology The Female

When Mary Ann Doane describes in 'Woman's

actively resist the imposition of dominant ways of site of resistance only when individual black women not automatically correspond with politicization, the talked to was aware of racism, that awareness did knowing and looking. While every black woman I Certainly when I watch the work of black women revision, interrogate, and invent on multiple levels in a broad range of looking relations, contest, resist, tions. As critical spectators, black women participate black female spectatorship. We do more than resist term that does not adequately describe the terrain of cess. Manthia Diawara's 'resisting spectatorship' is a individual black women consciously named the prodevelopment of an oppositional gaze. When it did to 'resist' the images even as I still choose to watch filmmakers Camille Billops, Kathleen Collins, Julie We create alternative texts that are not solely reactheir work with a critical eye. Dash, Ayoka Chenzira, Zeinabu Davis, I do not need Critical black female spectatorship emerges as a

creating space for the construction of radical black Black female critical thinkers concerned with

female subjectivity, and the way cultural producimportance of mass media, film in particular as a outside the realm of filmic discursive practices. Within tive wherein the black female protagonist subversively enormous power. Yet, she also creates a filmic narracinema as a space of knowledge production that has Dash's film Illusions identifies the terrain of Hollywood powerful site for critical intervention. Certainly Julie tion informs this possibility, fully acknowledge the suddenly it is the white male's capacity to gaze, define the question of 'racial' identity by depicting passing black women a place in this structure. Problematizing tions that challenge stereotypical notions that place us ture, she offers the black fernale spectator representaclaims that space. Inverting the 'real-life' power strucfilms to undermine those cinematic practices that deny the film she uses the strategy of Hollywood suspense and know that is called into question.

she names a critical process that 'undoes the structure the film is not unproblematic and works within cercisely names Dash's strategy in Illusions, even though of the classical narrative through an insistence upon syntax for a different articulation of the female body. feminist filmmaking practice can elaborate 'a special Stake: Filming the Female Body' the way in which of black women to make films will be threatened and simply assimilate and perpetuate the norm. Still, subsubvert and transform the genre or whether she will character Mignon will make Hollywood films that tain conventions that are not successfully challenged its repressions.' An eloquent description, this preundermined by that white male gaze that seeks to mation, often expressed by eye-to-eye contact, the bond between her and the young black woman singer continually asserts her ties to black community. The cultural production represented by film, Mignon she is passing to gain access to the machinery of spectatorship. White people in the film are unable to versively, Illusions problematizes the issue of race and For example, the film does not indicate whether the that threatens to penetrate her 'secrets' and disrupt the desiring objectifying sexualized white male gaze direct unmediated gaze of recognition. Ironically, it is Esther Jeeter is affirmed by caring gestures of affir-'see' that race informs their looking relations. Though her process. Metaphorically, Dash suggests the power

reinscribe the black female body in a narrative of voyeuristic pleasure where the only relevant opposition is male/female, and the only location for the female is as a victim. These tensions are not resolved by the narrative. It is not at all evident that Mignon will triumph over the white supremacist capitalist imperialist dominating 'gaze.'

she nurtures and protects. It is this process of mirby her contact with the younger black woman whom ervations. Clearly, the impact of racism and sexism so to critique the film negatively or to express many reswherein black fernales will be the narrative focus. Julie audience for films that Mignon might produce, films upon them by structures of domination. The shared define their reality, apart from the reality imposed tral characters in the movie. They are adrift without a at but who we identify with—that viewers who are not over-determine spectatorship—not only what we look tive. This focus caused critics (especially white males) dares to place black females at the center of its narrathe younger subject, Esther represents a potential gaze of the two women reinforces their solidarity. As rored recognition that enables both black women to white presence in the film black females find it hard to empathize with the cen-Dash's recent feature-length film Daughters of the Dusi Throughout Illusions, Mignon's power is affirmed

to the tune 'Let's get Loose,' they display their bodies to go to a party, Louise and Maggie claim the 'gaze. friends, Louise and Maggie, are from the onset of the for subjectivity is depicted in Sankofa's collective work constitutes them as spectators. Mutually empowered of recognition that affirms their subjectivity—that not for a voyeuristic colonizing gaze but for that look tant, not how they will be stared at by others. Dancing femaleness. How they see themselves is most imporcompletely focused on their encounter with black tion struggles that address that complexity. Dressing complexity of black identity, and the need for liberawant to replace them with new understandings of the that have been sexist. They challenge old norms and their place in progressive black liberation movements narrative struggling with the issue of subjectivity, of Passion of Remembrance. In the film, two black women one another via recognition of their common struggle Looking at one another, staring in mirrors, they appear Another representation of black females nurturing

stereotypical representations of black female bodies the public. Disrupting conventional racist and sexis they eagerly leave the privatized domain to confron radical departure. Opening up a space for the asset to undermine existing grand cinematic narratives Remembrance employ a deconstructive filmic practice tional filmic practices, changing notions of spectato these scenes invite the audience to look differently new transgressive possibilities for the formulation of izing nature of existing narratives, they offer points of tations that emerge only as a response to the total visual. Without providing 'realistic' positive represen even as they retheorize subjectivity in the realm of this ship. Illusions, Daughters of the Dust, and A Passion of They act to critically intervene and transform convennot simply offer diverse representations, they imaging tion of a critical black female spectatorship, they do

black female subjectivity and black female spectator women involve ourselves in a process whereby we see female spectatorship. Looking and looking back, black of subjects, and thereby enable us to discover who to see film 'not as a second-order mirror held up to ognition, embodying Stuart Hall's vision of a crinca ship. Cinematically, they provide new points of reg that provides us with different ways to think about our history as counter-memory, using it as a way to duction of feminist film theory that theorizes black we are. It is this critical practice that enables prosentation which is able to constitute us as new kinds reflect what already exists, but as that form of repre 'not outside but within representation,' and invites a practice that acknowledges that identity is constituted know the present and invent the future In this sense they make explicit a critical practic

> Doane, Mary Ann, 'Remembering Women: Psychical and Historical Constructions in Film Theory'. In Psychoanalysis and Cinema, edited by E. Ann Kaplan (London: Routledge, 1990).

Fanon. Franz, Black Skin, White Masks (New York: Month)
Review, 1967).

Friedberg, Arme, 'A Denial of Difference: Theories of Cinernatic Identification'. In *Psychoanalysis and Cinema*, edited by E. Ann Kaplan (London: Routledge, 1990).

Gamman, Lorraine and Marshment, Margaret (eds), The Female Gaze: Women as Viewers of Popular Culture (London: The Women's Press, 1988).

Hall, Stuart, 'Cultural Identity and Diaspora'. In *Identity:*Community, Culture, Difference, edited by Jonathan Rutherford (London: Lawrence & Wishart, 1990).

- hooks, bell, 'Do You Remember Sapphire?'. In hooks, Talking Back: Thinking Feminism, Thinking Black (Boston: South End Press, 1989).
- Kuhn, Annette, The Power of the Image: Essays on Representation and Sexuality (New York: Routledge, 1985).
- Minh-ha, Trinh T., 'Outside In, Inside Out'. In Questions of Third Cinema, edited by Jirn Pines (London: British Film Institute, 1989).
 Morrison, Toni, The Bluest Eye (New York: Holt, Rinehart and
- Winston, 1970). Mulvey, Laura, Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema'
- Mulvey, Laura, Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema Screen Autumn 1975, Vol. 16, No. 3, pp. 6–18.
- Mulvey, Laura, Visual and Other Pleasures (Bloomington University of Indiana Press, 1989).

References

Burchill, Julie, Girls on Film (New York: Partheon, 1986).

Diawara Manthia, 'Black Spectatorship: Problems-of Identification and Resistance'. Screen, Vol. 29, No. 21.

Diawara, Manthia, 'Black British Cinema: Spectatorship and Identity Formation in Territories'. Public Culture, Vol. 1999, No. 3 (Summer 1989).

Doane, Mary Ann, 'Woman's Stake: Filming the Femilie Body'. In Feminism and Film Theory, edited by Constance Penley (New York: Routledge, 1988).